## Homework 9

- ▶ Due: 11:59 PM, March 29. Submit electronically on Canvas.
- ▶ **Prove/show** means to provide a mathematically rigorous proof. **Argue/describe/explain** why means a non-rigorous (but convincing) argument is acceptable.
- 20 **Problem 1 (Thermodynamics):** The "infinitesimal" form of the first law of thermodynamics is sometimes written as

$$\mathrm{d}E = \delta Q - \delta W. \tag{1}$$

Here dE is the infinitesimal change in the internal energy E under a change in entropy  $S \to S + dS$  and volume  $V \to V + dV$ . The infinitesimal change in the heat absorbed by the system is given by

$$\delta Q = T \mathrm{d}S,\tag{2}$$

while the mechanical work done by the system is

$$\delta W = P \mathrm{d} V. \tag{3}$$

Here T and P are temperature and pressure, respectively.

Using the language of differential forms, we can interpret dE,  $\delta W$  and  $\delta Q$  as 1-forms on a manifold characterized by a *choice* of local coordinates, S and V.

- 1.1. In thermodynamics, the energy E(S, V) is a well-defined (single-valued) function of S and V. Hence, we expect dE is an exact differential. Conclude formulas relating T and P to E, S and V.
- 1.2. Use the fact that  $d^2 E = 0$  to obtain a thermodynamic Maxwell relation, relating T and P to each other.
- 1.3. Let  $\gamma$  be a *closed* thermodynamic cycle (a trajectory through the space of state variables, such as S and V). The total work done by the system is given by

$$W = \int_{\gamma} \delta W = \int_{\gamma} P \mathrm{d}V. \tag{4}$$

Generally,  $W \neq 0$ . In thermodynamics textbooks, it is often stated that  $\delta W$  and  $\delta Q$  are "inexact differentials". Mathematically, what does that imply?

1.4. In the language of differential forms, (1) does not depend on a choice of "coordinates" on the thermodynamic manifold. Explain why if we define the free energy

$$F = E - TS, (5)$$

the exact differential dF leads us to a new "thermodynamics", where T and V become a natural choice of local coordinates. What constraints do we obtain on P and S?

- 15 **Problem 2:** Consider the manifold  $M = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ .
  - 2.1. What well known shape is this manifold homeomorphic (topologically equivalent) to?
  - 2.2. As we'll learn to show later, M is topologically non-trivial. Despite this fact, find a *single* coordinate chart that covers all of M.
- **Problem 3:** Let  $\omega_1$  be a *q*-form and  $\omega_2$  be an *r*-form. Show that

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^q \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2.$$
(6)

**Problem 4 (Higher form symmetries):** A recent topic of some interest in theoretical physics is the existence of higher form symmetries.

15 4A: Let's begin by describing an "ordinary" (continuous) symmetry in the language of differential forms. Consider a theory with a single conserved charge, where the continuity equation is

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_i J_i = 0. \tag{7}$$

- **4A.1.** Define the current 1-form  $J := \rho dt + J_i dx_i$ . Write (7) using differential forms.
- 4A.2. Consider the dynamics of this conserved charge on spacetime manifold  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ , where M represents space  $(x_i)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  represents time t. Assuming that charge does not flow in/out at spatial infinity, use Stokes' Theorem to show that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{M} *J = 0. \tag{8}$$

What physical quantity does this integral represent?

4A.3. In quantum field theory, the main object of theoretical interest is the following:

$$Z[A] := \left\langle \exp\left[\int A \wedge *J\right] \right\rangle.$$
(9)

Here the 1-form A represents a classical background gauge field, while J is a quantum operator.  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  represents a quantum expectation value (which plays no role in this problem). The integral is performed over the entire spacetime manifold. Argue that if charge is conserved (namely if (7) holds – do not fuss over J being an operator), then Z[A] must be "gauge invariant": if  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary function (0-form),

$$Z[A] = Z[A + d\lambda].$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

- 15 **4B**: To construct a theory with a higher form symmetry, we can try to reverse the logic above. Suppose that you have a quantum theory which you can couple to a (p+1)-form A, such that (10) holds. We then say that we have a p-form symmetry.
  - 4B.1. What object must  $\lambda$  be in order for this construction to make sense?
  - 4B.2. If (9) holds, what must the object J be?
  - 4B.3. What is the generalization of the conservation law (7)?
  - 4B.4. Argue that there is an interesting natural generalization of (8), if we replace the integral over all of space M with an integral over some (possibly) lower dimensional closed surface  $\Sigma$  in M(namely, a surface  $\Sigma$  with boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  the empty set). What dimension is  $\Sigma$ ?

- 10 4C: As an interesting example of a higher form symmetry, we turn to ordinary electromagnetism. For simplicity, you can focus on electromagnetism in 3 + 1 spacetime dimensions.
  - 4C.1. If F represents the Maxwell tensor, show that \*F is the current of a 1-form symmetry.
  - 4C.2. Physically interpret the conserved quantities associated with this 1-form symmetry.<sup>1</sup>

**Problem 5** (K3 surfaces): In string theory, a family of 4-dimensional manifolds called K3 surfaces have wide-ranging importance. In this problem, we will sketch out one construction of a K3 surface.

10 5A: We begin with a discussion of complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , which is defined to be

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n} := \left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \mathbf{0}\right) / \sim \tag{11}$$

where

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \sim \lambda(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}), \quad (\lambda \neq 0).$$
 (12)

- **5A.1.** Argue that  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is a manifold which admits an atlas with n + 1 charts. What are they?
- **5A.2.** What is the dimension of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ?
- 5A.3. Explain why  $\mathbb{C}P^1 = S^2$ .
- 15 **5B:** Now, consider the space  $\mathbb{CP}^3$ , which we have defined using the coordinates above.
  - 5B.1. In one sentence, explain why the following equation is well-defined in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$ :

$$0 = z_1^n + z_2^n + z_3^n + z_4^n. (13)$$

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the subset of  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  obeying this equation.

**5B.2.** Suppose that  $z_4 \neq 0$ . Define  $w_i = z_i/z_4$  (i = 1, 2, 3). Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i^{n-1} \mathrm{d}w_i = 0.$$
 (14)

5B.3. Consider the following differential form:

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}w_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}w_2}{w_3^{n-1}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}w_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}w_3}{w_1^{n-1}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}w_3 \wedge \mathrm{d}w_1}{w_2^{n-1}}.$$
(15)

Explain why all 3 expressions for  $\omega$  are equivalent (if  $w_{1,2,3} \neq 0$ ). Conclude that even at points where one (or two) of the  $w_i = 0$ , the 2-form  $\omega$  is still well-defined and is not singular.

- 5B.4. Now, consider the subset of  $\Sigma$  where both  $z_1$  and  $z_4$  are non-vanishing. We can use either the coordinate chart above, or we can define  $v_i = z_i/z_1$  (i = 2, 3, 4). Show how to convert between  $w_i$  and  $v_i$  coordinates.
- 5B.5. Suppose that we try to extend  $\omega$  from the patch where  $z_4 \neq 0$  to the patch where  $z_1 \neq 0$ . When doing so, we need to make sure that as  $v_4 \rightarrow \infty$  in the new patch, we can switch  $\omega$  to its definition in the old patch, without any singularities. Show that this is only possible if n = 4.

Continuing this construction gives us a globally defined 2-form  $\omega$  (defined entirely in terms of holomorphic coordinates  $z_i$ , not  $\bar{z}_i$ ) which is nowhere zero/singular. This is a sufficient criterion for a K3 surface.

What is impressive about these constructions is that they give us some intuition for how to build up complicated manifolds, with non-trivial differential forms, using only "basic" operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>*Hint:* The answer is either electric fluxes and/or magnetic fluxes – through what shapes?