

## Homework 12

**Due:** November 14 at 11:59 PM. Submit on Canvas.

- 25 **Problem 1 (Adiabatic compression of a gas):** Consider a molecule in a one-dimensional non-relativistic gas. The molecule has mass  $m$  and bounces back and forth between the walls of a container of length  $L$ . The length  $L$  is assumed to slowly (adiabatically) vary in time. While in this problem we focus on the dynamics of a single molecule, we can use its behavior to estimate what happens for the gas as a whole.
1. Find an adiabatic invariant for this problem as a function of the molecule's energy,  $E$ .
  2. Deduce, as  $L$  changes, how the temperature  $T$  of the gas (which is proportional to  $E$ ), will vary.
  3. The pressure  $P$  in the gas is proportional to the average force per unit time applied to the left (e.g.) edge of the box, by the molecule bouncing off of it. How will  $P$  vary as a function of  $L$ ?

**Problem 2:** Consider the two-dimensional (coupled) harmonic oscillator

$$H = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2m} + \frac{A}{2} (x^2 + y^2) + Bxy. \tag{1}$$

- 15 **A:** This problem can be exactly solved within Hamiltonian mechanics, as follows.

**A1.** Show that the following transformation is canonical:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ p_x \\ p_y \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

**A2.** What value(s) of  $\theta$  should you choose in order to simplify the solution of the coupled oscillator problem?<sup>1</sup> After making this choice, write down  $H(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2)$ .

- 15 **B:** Find action-angle variables  $(J_1, J_2, \phi_1, \phi_2)$  for this problem, and deduce the Hamiltonian  $H(J_1, J_2)$ . As part of your solution, give an *explicit* canonical transformation from  $(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2)$  to, or from, the action-angle variables.<sup>2</sup>

- 10 **C:** Let us now interpret our findings in the language of integrable systems, following Lecture 30.

**C1.** Explain why  $H$  is integrable – i.e., it formally meets the criteria of Lecture 30.

**C2.** Describe how phase space is written in terms of invariant tori on top of a two-dimensional manifold with coordinates  $(J_1, J_2)$ . A geometric picture may be hard to draw, but communicate how the Liouville-Arnold Theorem is obeyed in this system as best you can.

---

<sup>1</sup>*Hint:* One solves coupled oscillators by writing  $H$  as the sum of two independent oscillators  $H_1 + H_2$ .

<sup>2</sup>*Hint:* Use results from Lecture 31 to help you – almost no new computation necessary.

**C3.** For what values of  $A$  and  $B$  will motion on the invariant tori be commensurate? What about incommensurate?

5 **D:** Provide an example of a perturbation to  $H$  that is not quadratic in  $x_{1,2}/p_{1,2}$ , yet maintains integrability with the action-angle variables of **B**. The perturbation may not be physically reasonable, but be sure in your answer to explain why the problem is nevertheless still exactly solvable.

15 **E:** Now, consider perturbing the Hamiltonian to  $H' = H_0 + \epsilon H_1$ , where  $H_0$  is the Hamiltonian given in (1), and

$$H_1 = C(x^4 + y^4) + Dx^2y^2. \quad (3)$$

**E1.** Write  $H_1$  in terms of the action angle variables  $(J_1, J_2, \phi_1, \phi_2)$  found in **B**.

**E2.** Follow Lectures 31 and 32. Describe how one can, in principle, look for new action-angle coordinates for the perturbed system. Find  $H$  in terms of the new action-angle coordinates, using perturbation theory, to first order in  $\epsilon$ .

15 **F:** Let us now more explicitly carry out the canonical transformation to new action-angle coordinates  $(J_1, J_2, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ , to first order in  $\epsilon$ , from the old action-angle coordinates, which we'll now denote as  $(J_{1,0}, J_{2,0}, \phi_{1,0}, \phi_{2,0})$  First, assume that  $B \neq 0$ .

**F1.** Find the type 2 generating function from old to new action-angle variables, to first order in  $\epsilon$ .

**F2.** Can perturbation theory break down at first order in  $\epsilon$ ?

15 **G:** Now, return to the case of  $B = 0$ . In this case, a bit more care is needed to implement perturbation theory, analogous to the case of degenerate perturbation theory in quantum mechanics.

**G1.** Find action-angle variables  $(J_0, J'_0, \phi_0, \phi'_0)$  in which the unperturbed Hamiltonian  $H_0 \propto J_0$ .<sup>3</sup>

**G2.** Implement a kind of “degenerate” perturbation theory, in which you first find a canonical transform to coordinates  $(J, J'_0, \phi, \phi'_0)$ , in which  $H$  is independent of  $\phi$  to first order in  $\epsilon$ . Argue that at first order, the problem becomes to a new (somewhat complicated) Hamiltonian system for a single pair of coordinates  $(J'_0, \phi'_0)$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Note: You can do this without a lot of calculation, but you may need to think carefully about normalization factors to ensure that  $\phi_0 \sim \phi_0 + 2\pi$  and  $\phi'_0 \sim \phi'_0 + 2\pi$  are periodic angle variables.