

PHYS 5210
Graduate Classical Mechanics
Fall 2022

Lecture 16

Relativistic electromagnetism

September 28

1 Discuss gauge symmetry of electromagnetism.

= redundancy in description

In elec.-km: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda \leftarrow$ arbitrary functions

FIELDS invariant b/c $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu =$

$$\begin{matrix} t & x & y & z \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ E_y & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ E_z & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

better to write $\mathcal{L}(A_\mu, \partial_\nu A_\mu, \dots)$

in units where

$$\underline{c=1}$$

$$(E_i \rightarrow \frac{E_i}{c})$$

Perspective 1: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda$ "big symmetry",
write \mathcal{L} out of invariant B.B.S.

Perspective 2: $A_\mu \sim A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda$
↑ same config in Hilbert space!

2 Write down the minimal gauge-invariant Lagrangian.

$$\mathcal{L}(A_\mu, \partial_\nu A_\mu, \dots) = \mathcal{L}(A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda, \partial_\nu A_\mu + \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \lambda, \dots)$$

Build \mathcal{L} out of invariants under λ -trans: ~~$(+\partial_\mu \lambda)$~~

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \leftrightarrow \partial_\mu A_\nu + \cancel{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda} - \partial_\nu A_\mu - \cancel{\partial_\nu \partial_\mu \lambda} = F_{\mu\nu}$$

field

Minimal Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}(A) = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$

EOMs:

$$0 = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_\mu} = \cancel{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\mu}} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\nu A_\mu)}$$

free space
Maxwell eqs
($J^\mu = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} &= -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\delta} [\delta_\alpha^\nu \delta_\beta^\mu - \delta_\beta^\nu \delta_\alpha^\mu] (\partial_\gamma A_\delta - \partial_\delta A_\gamma) \cdot 2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} \\ &= \frac{F^{\mu\nu}}{2} - \frac{F^{\nu\mu}}{2} = F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\delta} (\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha) (\partial_\gamma A_\delta - \partial_\delta A_\gamma)$$

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

3 Discuss the solutions to the equations of motion.

Found: $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0 = \partial_\mu (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu)$

Plane wave: $A_\mu = a_\mu e^{i k_\alpha x^\alpha} = a_\mu e^{i(k_x x + k_y y + k_z z - \omega t)}$
 $k^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ k_x \\ k_y \\ k_z \end{pmatrix}$ constant.

$\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0$: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow i k_\mu$ and $\partial^\mu = i k^\mu$

find: $k^\mu (k_\mu a_\nu - k_\nu a_\mu) = 0 = (k^\mu k_\mu) a_\nu - k_\nu (k^\mu a_\mu)$

Claim: use gauge invariance to set $k^\mu a_\mu = 0$.

$0 = (k^\mu k_\mu) a_\nu$

$0 = -\omega^2 + (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2) c^2$

$\omega = c |\vec{k}|$

EM waves
travel w/ speed c

4 Why are there only 2 allowable polarizations?

Before: ①: $\underline{k^\mu k_\mu = 0}$ and ②: $\underline{k^\mu a_\mu = 0}$

If $c_1 \neq 0$:

$$A^\mu = \partial^\mu \lambda + 0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{c_1}{i} e^{ik^\mu x_\mu}$$

$$a^\mu = c_1 k^\mu$$

Assume: $k^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

What terms allowed in a ?

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{k^\mu} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -a^t \\ a^x \\ a^y \\ a^z \end{pmatrix}}_{a_\mu} = 0 = k(-a^t + a^x)$$

$$a^t = a^x$$

a^y, a^z unconst.

[For plane wave:
 $A^\mu = a^\mu e^{ik^\mu x_\mu}$

What if $k^\mu a_\mu \neq 0$? ($k^\mu k_\mu \neq 0$)

Try 1: $k^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$:

$$\rightarrow \omega^2 a_\nu = 0 \quad (\nu = x, y, z)$$

$$\rightarrow -\omega^2 a_x + \omega^2 a_x = 0 \quad (\nu = t)$$

only gauge sol'n

Most general solution:

$$a^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 k \\ c_1 k \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 k^\mu + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$c_{1,2,3}$

arbitrary const.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

2 polarizations
 for EM
 waves!

5 Find the stress tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. Last time: Lorentz-invariance implies $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^{\mu\nu} &= 2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\rho)} \partial^\nu A_\rho \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} - F^{\mu\rho} \partial^\nu A_\rho \\
 &= F^{\mu\rho} \partial^\nu A_\rho - F^{\nu\rho} \partial^\mu A_\rho = (\partial^\rho A^\mu - \partial^\mu A^\rho) \partial^\nu A_\rho - (\partial^\rho A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\rho) \partial^\mu A_\rho
 \end{aligned}$$

Does $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$?
NO!

If we take $T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{sym}} = T^{\mu\nu} - \underbrace{F^{\mu\rho} \partial_\rho A^\nu}_{\text{symmetric!}}$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} - F^{\mu\rho} F^\nu{}_\rho$$

Why??

$$F^{\mu\rho} \partial_\rho A^\nu = \underbrace{\partial_\rho (F^{\mu\rho} A^\nu)}_{\text{total divergence.}} - \underbrace{A^\nu \partial_\rho F^{\mu\rho}}_{= 0 \text{ on EOMs.}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int d^4x T^{\mu\nu} \\
 &= \int d^4x T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{sym}} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{0}_{\text{boundary}}
 \end{aligned}$$