## Homework 9

Due: November 5 at 11:59 PM. Submit on Canvas.

**Problem 1** (Chiral anomaly): On Homework 5, we discussed the chiral anomaly in 1+1 dimensions. In this problem, we revisit such a system from a Hamiltonian field theory perspective instead. Let  $\rho(x)$ denote the density of a conserved charge, and consider Hamiltonian

$$H[\rho] = \int \mathrm{d}x \epsilon(\rho(x)). \tag{1}$$

In the presence of a chiral anomaly, the Poisson bracket

$$\{\rho(x), \rho(y)\} = -\partial_x \delta(x - y). \tag{2}$$

Assume that integrals over the coordinate x have vanishing boundary terms at  $\pm\infty$ .

- A: By calculating  $\{\int dx f(x)\rho(x), \int dy g(y)\rho(y)\}$ , confirm that the Poisson bracket defined by (2) is anti-20 symmetric. Why will it also obey the Jacobi identity? Following Lecture 26, evaluate  $\partial_t \rho = \{\rho, H\}$ and deduce the Hamiltonian equations of motion.
- **B**: To compare with Homework 5, we would like to find a Lagrangian formulation of this problem. 15 However, due to the derivative in (2), this is not immediately obvious. A convenient trick is to introduce additional "phase" degrees of freedom  $\phi(x)$ , with Poisson bracket  $\{\phi(x), \phi(y)\} = 0$  and

$$\{\phi(x), \rho(y)\} = \delta(x - y). \tag{3}$$

In other words, phase and density are thus canonical conjugate degrees of freedom. Using this definition, conclude that the Hamiltonian field theory action takes the form of<sup>2</sup>

$$S[\rho,\phi] = \int \mathrm{d}x \left[ \rho \partial_t \phi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \phi \partial_x \phi - \epsilon(\rho) \right]$$
(4)

Show that you can "integrate out"  $\rho$ , which now looks like a Lagrange multiplier,<sup>3</sup> and that after doing so, the resulting theory expressed only in terms of  $\phi$  has the reparameterization symmetry from Homework 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The function  $\partial_x \delta(x)$  is defined such that  $\int dx f(x) \partial_x \delta(x) = -f'(0)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup> $^{2}$ </sup>*Hint:* Notice that the Poisson brackets are not field-dependent. If we were talking about a finite-dimensional phase space, we could then try to write  $L = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}\xi^{\alpha}\dot{\xi}^{\beta} - H$ . You can try to do the same thing in field theory. Lastly, you may use the following block matrix identity:  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta \\ -\delta & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} D & -\delta \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>*Hint:* Think about transitioning from Hamiltonian mechanics back to Lagrangian mechanics!

**Problem 2** (Parabolic coordinates): In this problem, we will study a seemingly complicated problem which can be cleverly solved using the Hamilton-Jacobi method. Consider a system in cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, \phi, z)$  with canonical conjugate momenta  $(p_{\rho}, p_{\phi}, p_z)$ , and Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ p_{\rho}^2 + \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{\rho^2} + p_z^2 \right] - \frac{k}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} - Fz.$$
(5)

This models the electron orbiting the proton in a hydrogen atom, placed in a uniform electric field.

It is far from obvious (but we will verify, true) that this problem can be solved by moving to parabolic coordinates  $(\xi, \varphi, \eta)$ :

$$z = \frac{\xi - \eta}{2},\tag{6a}$$

$$\phi = \varphi, \tag{6b}$$

$$\rho = \sqrt{\xi \eta}.\tag{6c}$$

- 20 A: Find expressions for  $(\xi, \eta, \varphi)$  in terms of  $(\rho, \phi, z)$ . Then use a type-2 generating function of the form  $F(\rho, \phi, z, P_{\eta}, P_{\varphi}, P_{\xi})$  to find expressions for the new canonical momenta  $(P_{\eta}, P_{\varphi}, P_{\xi})$  in terms of the old  $(p_{\rho}, p_{\phi}, p_z)$ .<sup>4</sup> Lastly, re-write H in terms of the new coordinates  $(\eta, \varphi, \xi, P_{\eta}, P_{\varphi}, P_{\xi})$ .
- 20 B: Show that you can solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, up to quadratures, using separation of variables, as in Lecture 28.<sup>5</sup> You do not need to worry about finding explicit formulas for the trajectories in terms of the solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

**Problem 3** (Transient forcing): Consider a non-relativistic particle of mass m in the presence of a timedependent potential, described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x - ut),$$
(7)

where u > 0 is a constant.

15 A: Perform a type-2 canonical transformation (as simple as possible!) to a new coordinate system in which X = x - ut is the new position coordinate. What is the new momentum coordinate P? Show that the new Hamiltonian

$$H' = \frac{P^2}{2m} - uP + V(X) \tag{8}$$

is now time-independent.

10 B: Describe the trajectory of the particle qualitatively, assuming that – in the initial coordinates – as  $t \to -\infty$ , the particle starts at x = p = 0. Assume that V(X) vanishes for  $|X| \ge a$ . How independent of the details of V(x) is your answer?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>*Hint:* What should  $\partial F/\partial P_{\eta}$  be equal to, e.g.?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>*Hint:* You may need to multiply the Hamilton-Jacobi equation by a function of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  to make it look separable.

**Problem 4 (Symmetries, revisited):** Consider phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with canonical coordinates  $(x_i, p_i)$ . In Lecture 23 we defined a continuous symmetry in Hamiltonian mechanics as an infinitesimal canonical transformation generated by a function F which obeys  $\{F(x_i, p_i), H(x_i, p_i)\} = 0$ .

- 10 A: Revisit that argument, and point out that there exist systems which in Lagrangian mechanics we would have called symmetric under the infinitesimal canonical transformation generated by  $F(x_i, p_i)$ , but which we missed in Lecture 23, as  $\{F, H\} \neq 0$ . Point out a simple concrete example of a system where this happens.<sup>6</sup> Under our original definition of symmetry in Lagrangian mechanics, what is the new condition that F and H need to obey in order for F to generate a symmetry?
- 10 B: As an alternate perspective, show that Noether's Theorem from Lecture 23 can be correctly restated as follows: if F generates a symmetry, then you can always find a "related" canonical transformation to  $(X_i, P_i)$ , such that the new Hamiltonian H' obeys  $\{F, H'\} = 0$ . In your answer, explain why the construction is not pedantic, but "physical" – in particular, give a sensible physical interpretation to the transformation to new coordinates  $(X_i, P_i)$ .

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$ *Hint:* Think about all of the examples that we have seen so far in the class.