

PHYS 5210
Graduate Classical Mechanics
Fall 2024

Lecture 21
Poisson brackets

October 14

Review: "canonical" phase space
 $\mathbb{R}^{2n} : (x_1, \dots, x_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$
 e.g. $p_i = \frac{\partial L(x, \dot{x})}{\partial \dot{x}_i}$

Legendre transform from Lagrangian $\rightarrow$ Hamiltonian mechanics:

$$H = p_i \dot{x}_i - L$$

EOMs
(Hamilton's eqs)

$$\dot{x}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \quad \& \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}$$

Today: define Poisson bracket & symmetry algebras...

Observation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(t, x_i, p_i) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}}_{\text{Poisson bracket } \{F, H\}}$$

following F
along phys. traj.

"generator of time evolution"

$$\{F, H\} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i}$$

"Intrinsically Hamiltonian": $\dot{F} = \{F, H\} (+ \partial_t F)$

Properties of Poisson brackets:

① Antisymmetry: $\{A, B\} = -\{B, A\}$

② Linearity: $\{\alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2, B\} = \alpha_1 \{A_1, B\} + \alpha_2 \{A_2, B\}$.
const. ↑

③ Invertibility: for coordinates $\xi^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix}$ on phase space

$\{\xi^\alpha, \xi^\beta\} = V^{\alpha\beta}$ is an invertible matrix

Define: symplectic form: $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ such that $\omega_{\alpha\beta} V^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$.
inverses ↗

Example 1: canonical PBs are invertible:

$$\{x_i, p_j\} = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial x_k} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jk} = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0.$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} x & p \\ 0 & \delta_{ij} \\ -\delta_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta_{ij} \\ \delta_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

④ Jacobi identity: for any functions F, G, H :

$$\{\{F, G\}, H\} + \{\{G, H\}, F\} + \{\{H, F\}, G\} = 0.$$

Why: suppose F, G, H are all t-ind. Then

$$\dot{F} = \{F, H\} \quad \dot{G} = \{G, H\}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{F, G\} = \{\dot{F}, G\} + \{F, \dot{G}\} \quad (\text{by } \textcircled{2})$$

$$\{\{F, G\}, H\} = \{\{F, H\}, G\} + \{F, \{G, H\}\}$$

$$= -\{\{H, F\}, G\} - \{\{G, H\}, F\}$$

by ①

Jacobi allows $\dot{F} = \{F, H\}$ to be consistent!

Properties ①, ②, ④ $\mapsto$ PBs form Lie algebra.

For us: if $F^1, F^2, \dots (F^a)$ is a basis of functions:

$$\{F^a, F^b\} = \underbrace{f^{abc}}_{\text{structure constants}} F^c$$

structure constants. (don't depend on x, p)

Closed (sub)algebra: finite list of $F^1, \dots, F^m$ s.t.

$$\{F^a, F^b\} = f^{abc} F^c \quad a, b, c = 1, \dots, m$$

$\hookrightarrow$ Lec 22: symmetries...

Example 2: Consider $F^1 = x, F^2 = p, F^3 = 1$: (canonical PB)

$$\{F^1, F^2\} = F^3$$

$$\{F^1, F^3\} = \{F^2, F^3\} = 0$$

$$\text{So } f^{123} = -f^{213} = 1$$

$$f^{132} = f^{231} = \dots = 0.$$

F^1, F^2, F^3 form a closed algebra.

Proposition: in a Hamiltonian system ($\dot{F} = \{F, H\}$), then conserved quantities form closed Lie (symmetry) algebra.

Why? $\dot{F} = 0$ and $\dot{G} = 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{F, G\} = 0 \quad \text{by Jacobi identity.}$$

Contrast w/ Lagrangian mechanics? (coord transform leaving S invariant), algebraic structure less obvious.

Hamiltonian: cons. Q's form algebra (generate group)
 $\hookrightarrow$ symmetry!

Example 3: rotation symmetry

Consider phase space $\mathbb{R}^6 = (\underbrace{x_1, x_2, x_3}_{\text{position}}, \underbrace{p_1, p_2, p_3}_{\text{momentum}})$

$$x_i \rightarrow Q_{ij} x_j$$

$$p_i \rightarrow Q_{ij} p_j$$

(Q orthogonal)

conserved Q: angular momentum $L_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x_j p_k$ ($\vec{L} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}$)

From Hamiltonian perspective... [w/o coord transforms?]

↳ If $L_x, L_y, L_z \dots$ any other conservation laws?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Need to calculate: } \{L_i, L_j\} &= \{L_i, \epsilon_{jkl} x_k p_l\} \\ &= \epsilon_{jkl} x_k \{L_i, p_l\} + \epsilon_{jkl} p_l \{L_i, x_k\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_i, x_k\} &= \epsilon_{imn} \{x_m p_n, x_k\} = \epsilon_{imn} [\{x_m, x_k\} p_n + x_m \{p_n, x_k\}] \\ &= \epsilon_{imn} [0 - x_m \delta_{nk}] \\ &= -\epsilon_{imk} x_m = \epsilon_{ikm} x_m \end{aligned}$$

(Note: looks like rotation around i-axis)

$$\text{Similarly: } \{L_i, p_k\} = \epsilon_{ikm} p_m$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now: } \{L_i, L_j\} &= \epsilon_{jkl} [x_k \epsilon_{iln} p_n + p_l \epsilon_{ikn} x_n] \\ &= -(\delta_{ij} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jn}) x_k p_n + (\delta_{ij} \delta_{ln} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jn}) p_l x_n \\ &= -\delta_{ij} x_k p_k + x_i p_j + \delta_{ij} x_l p_l - p_i x_j \\ &= x_i p_j - p_i x_j = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mnk} = \delta_{im} \delta_{jn} - \delta_{in} \delta_{jm}$$

Therefore: angular momenta form closed algebra.
OK to have just rotational symmetry.
form of PBs matches $SO(3) \dots$